

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The above-identified patent application has been amended and reconsideration and reexamination are hereby requested.

Claim 1 has been cancelled.

Claim 2 points out that the common shared resource section includes a shared computer code used by the plurality of directors. It is respectfully submitted that the system described in DeKoning et al., USP 6754853, does not have a shared computer code used by the plurality of directors. With DeKoning et al., one director does not go to the same shared place (i.e., resource) that another one of the directors goes to for the same code.

Claim 3 points out that the code of claim 2 includes computer code for booting up each one of the plurality directors. Applicant fails to see any description in DeKoning et al., USP 6754853, where a shared computer code is used by the plurality of directors for booting up each one of the plurality directors.

Claim 5 points out that the system includes a common resource section for providing a resource shared among the plurality of directors wherein the common shared resource section includes a shared computer code used by the plurality of directors. It is respectfully submitted that the system described in DeKoning et al., USP 6754853, does not have a shared computer code used by the plurality of directors. With DeKoning et al., one director does not go to the same shared place (i.e., resource) that another one of the directors goes to for the same code.

Claims 8 and 10 point out that the system includes a common resource section for providing a resource shared among the plurality of directors wherein the common shared resource section includes a shared computer code used by the plurality of directors. It is respectfully submitted that the system described in DeKoning et al., USP 6754853, does not have a shared computer code used by the plurality of directors. With DeKoning et al., one director does not go to the same shared place (i.e., resource) that another one of the directors goes to for the same code.

Claim 10 has been amended to point out that the system includes a common resource section for providing a resource shared among the plurality of directors wherein the common shared resource section includes a shared computer code used by the plurality of directors. It is respectfully submitted that the amendment removes the provisional double patenting rejection.

In the event a petition for extension of time is required by this paper and not otherwise provided, such petition is hereby made and authorization is provided herewith to charge deposit account No. 05-0889 for the cost of such extension.

In the event any additional fee is required, please charge such amount to Patent and Trademark Office Deposit Account No. 05-0889.

Respectfully submitted,

October 22, 2007
Date

/richard Sharkansky/
Richard M. Sharkansky
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No.: 25,800
P. O. Box 557
Telephone: (508) 477-4311
Facsimile: (508) 477-7234